

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/584,145	ALDEA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ephrem Alemu	2821	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Ephrem Alemu

(2) Peter Gowdey

**Date of Interview:** 23 April 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Claim objection*

Claims discussed:

*8*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed about the unit for the secondary emissions for the dielectric material which has been objected to in the previous office action mailed on 10/28/2009. Applicants' clarified that the secondary emissions for the dielectric material as claimed in claim 8 is consistent with the specification and further states that the secondary emissions does not have a "unit" and are dimensionless. The argument deemed to be persuasive and therefore, the objection of claim 8 has been withdrawn.